UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,039	08/29/2006	Robert Dowe	67,173-002	6478
Matthew L Koz	7590 10/16/200 ziarz	EXAMINER		
Carlson Gaskey		PIERCE, WILLIAM M		
400 W Maple R Suite 350	Coad	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Birmingham, M	II 48009	3711		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/591	,039	DOWE, ROBERT		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		William	M. Pierce	3711		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO programs	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi be to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months to patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a red d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ ∃ 3)□ \$	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matte		e merits is	
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the aa) Of the above claim(s) is/accclaim(s) is/accclaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricted.	re withdrawn from				
	he specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer				
10)□ T /	The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Applicant may not request that any objected to be applicant may not request that any objected to be applicant or declaration is objected to be applicated	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is req	s) be held in abeyan uired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2-7 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Elum 5,566,942 as set forth in the previous office action and below in response to applicant's remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elum in view of Ferguson 4,65,971 as set forth in the previous office action and below in response to applicant's remarks.

Claims 1, 2 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LiDonnici P. E. (US 2004/0183253) in view of Elum (US 5,566,942) as set forth in the previous office action and below in response to applicant's remarks.

Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LiDonnici P. E. (US 2004/0183253) in view of Elum (US 5,566,942) in view of Harris et al. (US 6,491,300) as set forth in the previous office action and below in response to applicant's remarks.

Conclusion

Applicant's arguments filed 6/27/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant remarks that the instant amendments have added a "diagramless grid" and that such does not include "black spacer squares shown in Elum" and requests the removal of the rejection. Turning to the specification, the only discussion of the nature of a diagramless puzzle is in the "background" on pg. 1. However, this is not

considered to be an explicit definition of what applicant meant by the use of the term "diagramless grid". Here in the background it is a discussion of the general nature of crossword puzzles and the many variations found in the art. Additionally there is no one meaning understood in the art of games to the term diagramless grid. As such, limitations relied upon by applicant such as "no black squares" do not distinguish over the art since they will not be read into the claims from the specification.

Applicant's second argument relates to intended use or function rather than structure of the puzzle. As such, on its face this argument is unpersuasive. In considering any structure implied by the function recited, Elum is considered to be capable of meeting the function of where his number is considered to relate "a location of the first space...to a location of the second space".

LiDonnici shows a blank puzzle grid absent of any marks to guide a player in the solution of the puzzle. Adding the indicia such as numbers and shading in Elum to LiDonnici in order assist the players in solving the puzzle would have been obvious.

Harris is applied to claim 4 and the limitations that the spaces include a midpoint and a symmetric pattern. Such a design is known in game boards as taught by Harris. To have design Elum symmetrically would have been obvious to have made it more aesthetically appealing.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Pierce whose telephone number is 571-272-4414 and E-mail address is bill.pierce@USPTO.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Friday 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, communication via email at the above address may be found more effective. Where current PTO internet usage policy does not permit an examiner to initiate communication via email, such are at the discretion of the applicant. However, without a written authorization by applicant in place, the USPTO will not respond via Internet e-mail to any Internet correspondence which contains information subject to the confidentiality requirement as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 122. A paper copy of such correspondence will be placed in the appropriate patent application. The following is a sample authorization form which may be used by applicant:

"Recognizing that Internet communications are not secure, I hereby authorize the USPTO to communicate with me by responding to this inquiry by electronic mail. I

Application/Control Number: 10/591,039 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

understand that a copy of these communications will be made of record in the

application file."

For further assistance examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571-

272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/William M Pierce/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3711